

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/086,933	KLEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean C. Witz	1651	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** restricted

 (1) Jean C. Witz.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Shawn Depmster.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 March 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*all of record*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative was advised of a redrawn restriction requirement and elected Group I without traverse. At that time, Applicants' representative also agreed to the amendments to the claims per the Examiner's Amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..